

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FU INC THE			mb
	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/530,3	342 <u>04/27</u> ,	/00 BALL	5	BALLI-2-3
CORNING	S WAYLAND INCORPORATE	IM31/0802 ¬	EXAMINER HUFFMANN, J	
SP TI 03 CORNING	NY 14831		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED:	08/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			09/530,342	BALL ET AL.				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
		The MAII ING DATE of this communication	John Hoffmann	1731				
	Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
Status Page 1								
	1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>4-27-</u>						
	· —		action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-11</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
1		on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) 🔲 The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)								
1) 2)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3-4 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
S Patent and Trademark Office								

Application/Control Number: 09/530,342

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-5, drawn to a furnace.

Group II, claim(s) 6-11, drawn to a method of making a fiber.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claim 6 is taught by the Ohga EP 464613 (see below). Therefore, claim 6 does not have a special technical feature. And since claim 6 does not have a special technical feature, it has no general inventive concept - therefore it does not relate to a single general inventive concept. And thus, at least Group I does not relate to a single general inventive concept.

During a telephone conversation with Randall Wayland on 31 July 2001 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 6-11. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-5 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1731

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohga EP 464613.

The invention is clearly disclosed at Figure 1 and page 6, 1st col, lines 48-51.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohga.

Art Unit: 1731

It would have been obvious to use silicon carbide that is as pure as technologically possible. It is well known that impurities in anything are generally not desirable.

As to claims 10-11, it would have been obvious to have a low of loss as possible, because a high loss means loosing signal integrity and good integrity makes for happier customers.

Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohga as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Fatzer, 392557.

Fatzer discloses that coating carbon bodies usually has poor adherence (col.2, lines 8-17.) Fatzer discloses a method of coating with SiC with good adherence (col. 4, lines 7-27). It would have been obvious to use the Fatzer method of creating the Ohga coated muffle - for the improved coating characteristics the Fatzer method has.

The cited passage of Fatzer requires the heating of the furnace (i.e. the muffle tube part of the furnace) to temperatures within the claimed range. Thus the limitations of claims 7-8 are met during the claimed "providing" step. It is noted that the claim does not require that all of the furnace be heated to 1900C or that the temperature be maintained during the entire process.

Art Unit: 1731

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Blankenship, Paek, Lysson, and Saito are cited as being of general interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Hoffmann whose telephone number is 703-308-0469. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stan Silverman can be reached on 703-308-3837. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7115 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0651.

John Hoffmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

jmh

August 1, 2001